



Research Article

Microfinance (Mf) and Poverty Alleviation In Southwest Nigeria: Empirical Evidence

Ikpefan Ochei Ailemen, Taiwo J.N and Isibor Areghan

Department of Banking & Finance, Covenant University, Ota, Ogun State

Correspondence should be addressed to: Ikpefan Ochei Ailemen;
ochei.ikpefan@covenantuniversity.edu.ng

Received date: 29 August 2015; Accepted date: 21 May 2016; Published date: 17 October 2016

Academic Editor: Radek Dorskocil

Copyright © 2016. Ikpefan Ochei Ailemen ,Taiwo J.N and Isibor Areghan. Distributed under Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

Abstract

By inspection, observation and government records there are too many poor in Southwest Nigeria who require micro/small financial services such as credit, insurance, money transfer etcetera in order to engage actively in productive activities and improve their standard of living. Paradoxically, governments across the world, particularly in Nigeria over the years, have not been able to adequately help the poor in spite of all the rhetorics and several failed poverty-alleviation projects. The objective study examines the roles of microfinance towards the dispersion of credit among the working poor and also helped to improve the standard of living in Nigeria. The study draws from the data collected from the field survey and these were reported using tables, frequency counts and cross-tabulations to draw inferences. In addition, a loan demand model was specified and estimated using the Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) econometric technique. The study used cross-sectional data collected from selected respondents in selected areas of both the Lagos and Ogun States of Nigeria respectively. The study confirmed that most of the Microfinance banks in Nigeria are tailored after the Grameen Bank which focuses on the poor and people with basic, little or no education and that loan demand is interest rate insensitive to loan demand. The study recommended that MFIs should design appropriate products that are flexible enough to meet the different needs of the poor for both production and consumption purposes. Government should urgently tackle the infrastructural gaps such as electricity, water and efficient transportation system which impact greatly on the standard of living of the people.

JEL Classification: G21, G33

Keywords: Microfinance, Poverty alleviation, Grameen Bank

Introduction

Microfinance is the provision of financial services, such as loans, savings, insurance, money transfers, and payments facilities to low income groups. It could also be used for productive purposes such as investments, seeds or additional working capital for micro enterprises. On the other hand, it could be used to provide for immediate family expenditure such as food, education, housing and health. Microfinance is an effective way for the poor people to increase their economic security and thus reduce poverty. It enables poor people to manage their limited financial resources, reduce the impact of economic shocks and increase their assets and income (Robinson, 2001). Microfinance is no longer an experiment or a wish, it is a proven success. It has worked successfully in many parts of the World – Africa, Asia, Latin-America, Europe and North America. It is safe and profitable; indeed it is the oldest and most resilient financial system in history. The key issues in Microfinance include the realization that poor people need a variety of financial services, including loans, savings, money transfer and insurance which Microfinance provides. It is a powerful tool to fight poverty through building of assets and serving as an absorber against external vagaries and financial shocks. Microfinance involves building of financial sub-system which serves the poor and its architecture could be easily integrated into the financial system of the nation. The other key issues of Microfinance are the fact that it can pay for itself and should do so if it is to reach a large number of poor people. Microfinance is not limited to only micro-credit; it is inclusive of other financial services, such as micro-insurance, money transfer and savings. Furthermore, donor funds are meant only to support and assist Microfinance institutions and not compete with them. In the developed world, leaders talk about the poor and how to alleviate poverty. One hears this often at political meetings and conferences across Europe and other parts of the World.

There are also talks of strategies of equitable trade, debt relief, subsidies and aid flows etc. It has become clear that the ultimate strategy for the World to meet the needs of the poor is through microfinance which gives them access to financial services to enable them make everyday decision on: payment of children school fees; payment for food and shelter; meet health bills and meet unforeseen finance needs resulting from flood, fire, earthquake, etcetera. Microfinance may not be able to solve all the problems of the poor, but it certainly puts resources in their hands in order for them to live an enhanced standard of life. Microfinance has shown that poor people can be viable customers if properly focused. No doubt Microfinance has strongly attracted the interest of private sector investors. However, the following challenges, among others, face Microfinance institutions: They need to increase the scale of financial services to the poor; they need to reach out and seek the poor wherever they are and give them access to finance. The Grameen Bank of Bangladesh has set a good example in this direction by allowing credit and other services to cost less for the poor and train staff to be uniquely suitable to Microfinance business. The latter enhances efficiency and sustainability of the sector; and develops and tailored products to meet the needs of the clients – the poor.

Before the 1970s, the Nigerian experience in Microfinance was limited to Self Help Groups, Rotating Savings and Credit Associations, Cooperative Unions Community, Savings Collectors and Local Money Lenders. They were all informal and largely unregulated. They were mainly Micro-Credit savings mechanisms. Their strengths were associated with good repayment records due to peer pressure and other cultural mechanisms. However, their weaknesses lay in low level access to capital and limited range due to informal non-structured frame work. Between 1970 and 1990, there were several government initiatives in the form of Rural Banking Programme (RBP); Sectoral Allocation of credit by Central Bank; Agricultural Credit Guarantee Scheme (ACGS); Nigerian Agricultural and Co-

operative Bank (NACB) and the National Directorate of Employment (NDE) etc. These efforts were largely incoherent, and mainly targeted towards enhancing subsidized credit in agriculture and a few other sectors of the economy. They were not sustainable as a result of poor repayment records and inefficient administrative structures.

In the 1990s, the Federal Government embarked on other initiatives, such as the Peoples Bank, (1990-2002), Community Banks, Nigerian Agricultural Insurance Corporation and National Poverty Eradication Programmes and the Family Economic Advancement Programme. These were focused on rural and community small-scale financing. They were all short lived and unsustainable as a result of poor government policies and corporate governance. Between 2000 to date, there have been other initiatives such as the merger of the Peoples Bank (PB), Family Economic Advancement Programmes (FEAP), and NACB into the National Agricultural, Cooperative and Rural Development Bank (NACRDB). We had the National Economic Empowerment and Development Strategy (NEEDS), and the launch of Microfinance Policy in 2005. These are more interactive initiatives resulting from wider consultations with stakeholders with the hope of better success than their predecessors. By inspection, observation and government records there are too many poor in SouthWest Nigeria who require micro/small financial services such as credit, insurance, money transfer etcetera in order to engage actively in productive activities and improve their standard of living.

Paradoxically, governments across the world, particularly in Nigeria over the years, have not been able to adequately help the poor in spite of all the rhetorics and several failed poverty-alleviation projects. Since the discovery that Microfinance can help the poor to access credit and other financial services that will ensure better life for them; a lot of works have been carried out. This study intends establish the developmental relationship between microfinance and poverty alleviation, taking a cue from

jurisdictions all over the world. Micro finance institutions are major contributors to small and medium enterprises growth as well as potent instrument in the mobilization and dispersion of credit to the working poor in Nigeria. Justification of this study lies in the fact though many studies have examined the issue of poverty alleviation in Nigeria along this thought and not many of them have assessed the impact of MFIs on poverty alleviation especially in Southwest Nigeria taking cognizance of MFI clients' level of education, loan demand and sensitivity to interest rate.

This study seeks to fill this gap. The objective of this study are as follows: To examine the roles of microfinance towards the dispersion of credit among the working poor and how it has helped to improve the standard of living in Nigeria. The associated research hypothesis stated in Null form states that MFIs have not been potent instruments in the dispersion of credit among the working poor in Nigeria. The study would help policy makers to review the severity of poverty in Nigeria with a view to assessing how microfinance institutions could help to reduce the incidence. It is also necessary to understand how microfinance institutions could contribute to economic development of the nation, by enhancing the productive capabilities and welfare of a largely distressed/vulnerable segment of the society. The study used cross-sectional data collected from selected respondents in selected areas of both the Lagos and Ogun States of Nigeria respectively. The study highlighted how certain countries such as India, Bangladesh, Nepal, Bolivia and the Philippines have used microfinance strategy to alleviate poverty. The study targets the customers of MFIs between the ages of 18 and 60 who are gainfully employed and can repay loans. It has been discovered that the ability of women to borrow, save and earn income has enhanced their confidence and they are more able to confront other systemic inequalities (Morduch, 1999)

The data analysis for this study was by the use of descriptive statistics such as frequency

distributions, means, percentages and cross tabulations between the variables identified. The multiple regression analysis was employed to make tentative predictions concerning the outcome of variables. The outputs of the analysis were presented in tables and figures. The statistical tool used was the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS). The study is divided into five sections. Section one above presents the introduction. Section two reviews the existing literature and theoretical framework on Microfinance, Poverty and strategy to alleviate poverty in developed and developing countries. Section three shows methodology adopted for the study. Section four presents the data analysis and interpretation of results while Section five which is the last part deals with the summary of the study, conclusion, and recommendations for policy decisions.

Literature Framework **Review/Theoretical Framework**

Over the last three decades, the popularity of microfinance has steadily increased. Many in the West saw microfinance as a pivotal innovation in the fight against poverty in the developing world. From Hennessey (2006) study, it is certain that microfinance, in its various adaptable models can assist the world to reduce and alleviate poverty and enhance economic development, particularly in developing economies. Pollinger and Cordero-Guzman (2007) submitted that micro-entrepreneurs have considerable difficulty in accessing capital from mainstream financial institutions. Hulme and Mosley (1996) observed that 21 per cent of borrowers managed to lift their families out of poverty within four years of participation in microfinance and extremely poor conditions declined from 33 per cent to 10 per cent among participants. However, he contends that there is the need for a much careful monitoring of these programmes by microfinance institutions and the donors, with awareness that micro credit could have both positive and negative impacts on loan recipients. Globally, there are several models to microfinance movements from which

entrepreneurs have emerged. Some are discussed below

The Grameen Bank Model: This model emerged from the work of Prof. Muhammad Yunus in Bangladesh in 1976. It focused on the poor and low-income households. The bank operates as a unit set up with a Field Manager and bank workers covering a designated area of about 15 to 22 villages. Manager and workers visit villages in order to familiarize themselves with the environment and identify clientele and explain purpose, functions and mode of operation. Groups of five prospective borrowers are formed from which only two receive a loan in the first instance while others take their turns later. The Group is then observed for a month to see if members are conforming to the rules of the bank. If the two beneficiaries of a loan facility repay principal plus interest over a period of 52 weeks, others become eligible. As it is, there is substantial group pressure to make individual conform to the rules and regulations governing the operations of the bank, particularly with respect to repayment of loans. In the Grameen bank model, group formation is also used for other purposes such as educating the members, awareness building, and collective bargaining.

Another method of operation is through bank guarantees. Bank guarantee is used to obtain a loan from a bank and may be arranged externally through donors, government agency or internally that is within members of a savings group. The Community Banking methodology treats the whole community as one unit. It establishes semi-formal or formal institutions through which microfinance is dispensed. It is formed by the help of NGOs and other organizations who train community members in various financial activities of the community banks. Cooperative Associations constitute another model of microfinance operation. They are autonomous associations of persons that are voluntarily united with the desire to meet their common economic, social and cultural needs as well as their aspirations. Credit Union model through self help financial

institution usually organized by and comprise of members of a particular group or organization who agree to save their money together and make loans to members from the savings at reasonable rates of interest. Fanta (2007) provides an insight into the Ethiopia based organization with respect to its contribution to microfinance practice in Africa as contained in his presentation.

The Network known as Microfinance African Institutions Network (MAIN) trains microfinance practitioners via group training and exchange visits and seminars. The story of Bodil Maal, who set up the Micro-Credit Project in Lofoten Island of Norway with the collaboration of Norwegian Government, is ever told. It is primarily targeted at the young women (girls) of the islands in order for them to remove boredom and encourage them to stay on the islands so as not to depopulate them. Swain and Wallentin (2007) in a journal titled "Empowering Women through Microfinance evidence from India", submit that microfinance programmes have been increasingly promoted in India because of their positive economic impact and the belief that they empower women. Brewer (2007) observes that lending to small firms is difficult because of the problems of information asymmetry. However, innovative ways to address the problems have the potential to increase credit availability to the firms. Ehigiamusoe (2005, 2007) the Managing Director of Lift Above Poverty Organization (LAPO) microfinance institution in his contribution to a Central Bank workshop in 2007 via his article titled "Principles and Practices of microfinance" (2007) narrated the story of Weles Momoh, one of the customers of his bank as follows: Weles Momoh is a member of LAPO, in Jattu Branch. She is about 40years and has 8 children. Her husband who is a driver has two wives. Weles has become somewhat very solid in her business as she plans to start to build her own house. Through her friend, she became a member of Egelesor LAPO group. In December 1970 she got a loan of N6,000; 1979 - N10,000; 2001 - (Feb) N10,000; 2001 (Dec) N20,000. With these loans she diversified into selling

tomatoes peppers, onions, potatoes and has increased her capital more than tenfold.

Berger and Frame (2002) provide an excellent analysis and discussion of the usage of credit scoring technology by large banks in lending to small businesses. "The technology is changing the relationship between the small business borrower and his bank, relying more on easy verifiable and quantifiable financial data rather than on information obtained via long-term relationships". Rahman (1999) observes that microfinance borrowers have had to sell household assets or their own food supplies, or have to leave their homes in search of wage labour in urban areas to repay their loans. There are other cases to the effect that delinquent borrowers of microfinance institutions are ostracized by joint liability groups and communities while they become destitute in their communities. Marr (2002) examines the impacts of microfinance on poverty reduction with application to Peru. It is found that the Marr Group dynamics engendered by the joint-liability microcredit programme had negative impacts on the well-being of participants.

Mayoux (2001) observes that access to microfinance services (credit, savings, insurance and pensions) is still highly unequal between men and women. Of course there are abundant literatures and empirical evidences that considerable efforts were made in the 1990s to increase women access to small loans and savings facilities. The author further observed that microfinance is being promoted as a key alleviation strategy to enable poor women and men to cope with the adverse economic and social impacts of structural adjustment policies and globalization (Mayoux 2001). While many express the opinion that microfinance is doing well for women empowerment, other researchers have questioned the benefits of microfinance for women (Goetz and Sen Gupta 1996). Some are of the view that microfinance is a diversion for women from other forms of effective strategies for empowerment (Ebdon, 1995). Such researchers also are of the view that

attention and resources of donors have been drawn from possibly better alternative means of alleviating poverty (Rogally, 1996).

However, Lafourcade *et.al* (2005) observe that African MFIs face many challenges including the fact that operating and financial expenses are high on average and revenues remain lower than in other regions of the world; efficiency in terms of cost per borrower is lowest for African MFIs bringing in the need to for technical innovations, product refinements and strengthening of the capacity of African MFIs become imperative and there is a need to increase outreach in order to capture more clients so as to boost overall profitability. According to Agbobli and Togo (2007) the size of Nigeria, the insecurity, especially in the Niger Delta region, diverse cross cultural issues that define “acceptable business practices” and the lack of sufficient expertise in the microfinance industry in Nigeria have been identified as challenges affecting microfinance in the region. The following as some of the challenges that are militating against microfinance: (i) The need to scale up the quality of microfinance services in order for it to serve large numbers of people (scale challenge); (ii) The need to reach increasingly poorer and more remote people (depth challenge); (iii) The need to lower costs, both to clients and financial service providers (cost challenge); (iv) Optimizing technology which will help to lower costs of operations and also expand the reach of financial services to the clients of microfinance; (v) Low level of leveraging Cross-border Remittances of funds and other remote rural transfers; (vi) Difficulty in reaching farmers and other remote rural clients; (vii) Inability to protect poor consumers. Many poor and vulnerable consumers fall prey to predatory lenders and many depositors are having problems accessing their deposits as a result of failure of many microfinance institutions; and (viii) Infrastructure inadequacy. Financial institutions in countries that lack strong communications and electric infrastructure may have a hard time implementing

technology solutions which rely on internet connectivity.

There are other challenges confronting microfinance institutions. However, from region to region peculiar challenges and those that are global are being tackled by the day and hopefully the challenges will give way for microfinance to make its mark in poverty alleviation and economic development of nations around the globe. Mago and Mago (2009) stated that Africa has received billions of dollars in foreign aid for four decades but has failed to develop. He affirms that foreign aid goes with “dependency syndrome” and therefore people remain underpowered to escape poverty. Ogunrinde, (2009) highlighted some of the teething problems in microfinance practice, including “putting the necessary infrastructure like buildings in place, non-availability of public power supply, non-payment of loans taken by the customers as well as lack of financial support from the three tiers of government”.

According to Kimotho (2007), opines that microfinance industry is still young compared to the universal banks, and we should not readily conclude with certainty, its real contribution to poverty reduction, improvement in real purchasing power of the poor and the poor’s asset accumulation. There are, however, evidences of success stories of microfinance in Nigeria. Kefas (2006) submitted that microfinance was often considered one of the most effective and flexible strategies in the fight against global poverty. It is sustainable and can be implemented on a massive scale necessary to respond to the urgent needs of the world’s poorest people. Microfinance services provided by the Development Exchange Centre (DEC), Bauchi, Nigeria, (a microfinance institution) consists of small loans, usually less than N30,000 granted to individual women groups to establish or expand small self sustaining business. Other services include weekly savings mobilization, business management advice, counseling and entrepreneurial skill acquisition programme. “Borrowers also provide peer support for

one another through solidarity groups". If a borrower encounters a failure in her ability to pay back a loan, members of the group assist in defraying the loan. This contributes substantially to the high repayment rate of loans achieved by the microfinance institution (DEC).

As at end of August, 2009, according to the Central Bank Governor, 903 microfinance banks had been licensed but with all the regulatory incentives, the policy objectives, goals and targets of the banks have not been realized (Olufemi Fabanwo – Deputy Director CBN, 2009). Fabanwo (2008) attributed the challenges of the banks to "weak internal control measures, poor risk management procedures, huge investment in non-earning fixed assets before attaining the minimum scale efficiency and level required to breakeven and wrong classification of items. Other challenges are non-performing inside credit, lack of innovation and creativity in microfinance product design and pricing". The studies carried out by Pollinger and Cordero-Guzmen (2007) and Hennessey (2006) confirmed that microfinance in its various models do assist the world to reduce and alleviate poverty and enhance economic development, particularly in developing economies. Micro-entrepreneurs have difficulty in accessing capital from mainstream financial institutions for various reasons ranging from non availability of appropriate and adequate collateral and inability of the entrepreneurs to provide acceptable accounting records of their businesses. All microfinance institutions operate with the objective of overall success. In other words, being able to make loans available to customers and delivery of other services are not enough. Kimotho (2007) identified maintaining minimum portfolio at risk, adequate provisioning, capacity building: zero tolerance for loan default, and product packaging as the critical and key success factors to microfinance operations.

The Finish Micro Credit Ltd was set up to remove boredom among the young women and encourages them to stay in Northern Finland and the nearby region of Northern

Russia. The microfinance institution mainly finances production of sweaters, paper weights, postcards and wooden troll statues and also serves to preserve social integration. From the study reviewed, it has been observed that microfinance through its services to the poor and lowly has filled certain gaps which the mainstream financial institutions – the conventional banks have neglected. Such gaps include provision of start-up finance, rehabilitation of the destitute, provision of business training skills etc

Theoretical/Conceptual Framework

Microfinance structure and superstructure hinges on the following framework. The study examines few theories that shape anti-poverty strategies. Bradshaw (2006) opined that theories of poverty may originate from five sources including 1) individual deficiencies, 2) cultural belief systems that support subcultures in poverty, 3) political-economic distortions 4) geographical disparities or 5) cumulative and circumstantial origins. However, this study examines another strand of poverty classification/theories. There are two poverty and two finance theories that are germane to this study. These are vicious cycle theory of poverty, power theory of poverty, demand following and supply leading hypothesis and financial liberalization or repression hypothesis. In the following paragraphs, the study looks in these theories.

The Vicious Cycle of Poverty

Jhingan (2003) and Bradshaw (2006) are front runners of the poverty theory. They posited that there are circular relationships known as the "vicious cycle of poverty" that tend to perpetuate the low level of development in less developed countries (LDCs). The trajectory is that poverty is caused by low income. Low income engenders low savings and this in turn leads to low investment. The latter provokes low productivity and the cycle continues. According to Jhingan (2003), the basic

vicious cycle stems from the facts that in low developing countries (LDCs) total productivity is low due to deficiency of capital, market imperfections, economic backwardness and underdevelopment

Power Theory of Poverty

This theory further stated that the society has been dominated by the ruling class owners of properties who exploit the non-property owners, made possible by their ownership of the means of production. According to the proponents of this theory, the individual's position in the society depends on whether he owns the means of production or work for someone else. They held religion responsible for sustaining this power structure between the rich and the poor by denying the poor of any initiative to fight to improve their condition which prevails and subject them to poverty. Thus, an effective poverty reduction programme should have exploitative property that could be addressed and dislodged.

The Demand-Following and Supply-Leading Hypothesis

The demand following financial theory refers to a kind of finance development that reacts positively to economic activities. The supply-leading finance on the other hand refers to the establishment of financial institution in some areas before the demand for their service is considered. Demand-following and supply-leading financial theory are rooted in the fact that the financial system may be simultaneously growth inducing and growth induced. Jhigan (2003), emphasized that the most relevant issues for development is the efficiency with which the financial system provides financial institutions. They linked the supply of initiatives, enterprise and finance by financial institution to be the creation, transformation and expansion of industries and other development oriented ventures.

Financial Liberalization or Repression Hypothesis

In the 1960s and 1970s, government intervention in the financial sector was rampant. It was done through setting of interest rates, imposition of high reserves requirements as well as quantitative restrictions on credit allocation. In the literature, it is explained by low savings, credit rationing and hence low investment: the so called financial repression. These authors then proposed the financial liberalization thesis which essentially involves the freeing of financial markets from government intervention and allowing the market determine the price and allocation of credit. This theory is based on the assumptions of perfect information, profit maximizing competitive behaviour by financial institutions. However, the theoretical background of this study is rooted in the demand-following hypothesis and the vicious cycle of poverty. This is because most microfinance banks are sited in the urban areas or semi-urban areas where there already exists the demand for financial services.

Thus, for these MFIs to function efficiently and effectively to break the poverty cycle, they are demand driven and not supply leading. Bruno, Squire and Ravallion (1995) indicated that there are ample evidences that policies designed to foster economic growth significantly reduce poverty, Besley (1992) posited that there are two separate approaches to poverty reduction - which he called the technocratic and the institutional. The technocratic approach associated with economists, focus on targeting, exploring the theoretical and empirical implications of trying to direct limited resources to people with the greatest need while deemphasizing target groups. The institutional approach is more common among non-economists. They believe that antipoverty policies fail because the poor lack political power or because administrative incompetence or corruption keeps governments from delivering services. Thus improving the lives of the poor requires developing the social institutions, improving

government performance, and changing political structures and attitudes towards the poor.

Methodology

The target population and sample design for this study consists of the microfinance banks (MFBs) in Lagos and Ogun States as well as the micro entrepreneurs patronizing the MFBs in the two states. According to CBN (2009), there are three hundred and five (305) MFBs in the SouthWest part of Nigeria. These banks are categorized into those with final licences (169) and those with Provisional Licences (136). Out of the total, Lagos State controls the lion share of 147 MFBs with 74 in the licenced category while 73 have provisional licences. Ogun State, on the other hand has a total of 51 MFBs with 29 licensed while the remaining 22 have provisional licenses. In total, the two States have 198 MFBs, with 123 of them in the licensed category while the remaining 95 have provisional licences. The rationale for the choice of the two States is that most of the MFBs in Nigeria are concentrated there. In this respect, of a total of 253 MFIs in the SouthWest, 243 are located in Lagos and Ogun States respectively. It follows that sample drawn from the States are, all things being equal, more likely to reveal the characteristics of the MFBs and their customers. Both secondary and primary data are being used in this research work. The primary data were collected through the use of well-structured questionnaires, and administered by well trained enumerators in the study area. The questionnaire employed for the primary data in this study was pilot-tested and found very reliable.

The Sampling Technique is on two levels including the MFIs in the first level and the micro-entrepreneur customers in the second level. In each level, the sampling is multistage. In the first level, there are two stages of selection. At the first stage, the Local Government Areas are purposively selected in which case four LGAs were selected from Lagos State (Ifako-Ijaiye, Ikeja, Mushin and Isolo LGAs) and four were also

purposively selected in Ogun State (Ado-Odo Ota, Ifo, Owode and Itori/Ewekoro LGAs). At the second stage, the locations of the MFIs in each LGA were also purposively selected.

In this case, the target population is the total entrepreneur-customer base of the selected banks. From the books of the banks, a sampling frame of these classes of customers has been generated from which 10 per cent of these customers has been selected, using the stratified random sampling approach. The micro-entrepreneur-customers were stratified by the average size of last loan taken and divided into three categories: (a) Low loan volume, (b) Medium Loan volume, and (c) High Loan volume customers. Each of the categories (a) through (c) was translated to actual Naira value. Low loan volume was bench-marked at a ceiling of N27,579, medium loan volume at a ceiling of N35,602 and the last category at any amount above N58,227

Model Specification

The study draws from the data collected from the field survey and these were reported using tables, frequency counts and cross-tabulations to draw inferences. In addition, a loan demand model was specified and estimated using the Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) econometric technique. Also, a linear Probability model was specified and estimated to measure the log of odds of obtaining loans from the microfinance banks by the customers.

Model for the study - Volume of Loan Demanded Function:

Drawing from the theory of demand in Economics, the demand for loan is a function of the rate of interest, the personal characteristics of the borrower, as well as the enterprise characteristics of the borrower-entrepreneur. Thus, the demand of a customer for loan volume D^L can be expressed as:

$$D^L = f(i, B, P) \dots \dots \dots (1)$$

Where i is the rate of interest and it is expected to be negatively related to the

dependent variable D ; B is a vector of enterprise-related variables such as the year of establishment, location, nature of business, among others; while P is a vector of personal characteristics of the loanee such as age, formal educational attainment, and so on. Each of these explanatory variables is assumed to be linearly related to the dependent variable. However, interest rate will be descriptively and separately determined

Data Analysis

(a) Age Distribution of the respondents

The analysis on table 4.1 shows that about 88 % of the total respondents are between age 25 and 49 years. This implies that most of the customers are in their prime age of life which falls in the economic activity rate group (National Population Commission (NPC) 2010; Ghazi Mumtaz Farooq and Yaw Ofosu, 1992). However, only 7.5% of the total respondents belonging to age group 50 and above were captured. The proportion in age group 35-39 years is 23.4% and this also takes the highest proportion out of all other age groups.

Table 4.1: Distribution of respondents by Age Group

Age Group	Frequency	Valid Per cent
15-19 years	2	.6
20-24 years	12	3.7
25-29 years	50	15.6
30-34 years	61	19.0
35-39 years	75	23.4
40-44 years	59	18.4
45-49 years	38	11.8
50-54 years	15	4.7
55-59 years	5	1.6
60-64 years	3	.9
65 year +	1	.3
Total	321	100.0

Source: Field Survey, 2010

The result on table 4.2 shows that more than half of the population have attained secondary education and below as at the time of this study. This is immediately followed by ordinary National Diploma and National Certificate of Education (NCE) with

22% and primary education (18.7%). Respondents with bachelor and Higher National Diploma are up to 8.7% while other higher educational levels such as Master's and Doctorate degree are about 3.7%

Table 4.2: Distribution of Respondents by Highest Education Qualification

Education Qualification	Frequency	Valid Per cent
No Schooling	19	5.9
Primary	60	18.7
Secondary	125	38.9
OND/NCE	71	22.1

Education Qualification	Frequency	Valid Per cent
B.Sc/HND	28	8.7
Masters	11	3.4
Ph.D	1	.3
Others	6	1.9
Total	321	100.0

Source: Author's Field Survey, 2010

The occupational distribution of the respondents shows that those that are in wage employment are 18.4%, while majority (about 79%) are self employed or own account holders. In terms of marital status, more than two-third of the respondents were married at the time of this survey. Only 17.1% are single while the separated, widowed and divorced are 0.6%, 2.8% and 3.7%; respectively.

Sustainability of Microfinance Institutions

In the publication "Unitus Innovative Solutions to Global Poverty" (2007) the

matter of interest rate charge which sustains the microfinance institutions was aptly presented as follows "for a financial institution to scale and remain sustainable, at a bare minimum, it has to cover its costs". In the example below, a large bank (big lender) can charge interest rate of about 14 per cent to recoup its cost, whereas the microfinance institution (MFIs) has to charge a rate of at least 31 per cent to cover its cost. The details of input to sustainable interest charge are as shown in Table 4.3 below.

Table 4.3: Total Cost of Administering Loan

	Big Lenders (Commercial Banks) (%)	Microfinance Institutions. (%)
Cost of capital	10	10
Loan loss	10	10
Total cost of capital	11	11
Total amount of loan disbursed	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000
Loan size	\$1,000,000	
Number of loans	1	10,000
Cost of administering loan	3	20
Total cost to Institution	14	31

Source: Unitus, Innovative, Solutions to Global Poverty. Year 2007

The authors further submitted that though price regulators may desire that ceiling to interest rates is observed for microcredit loans, in reality such ceilings can cause a fatal blow to the MFIs. As a result, those whom the MFIs would have served are left without access to any financial services. That type of regulation, often is a disservice to the very people it is meant to protect. The relevance

of this data to our research study is that it takes many transactions for microfinance institutions compared to the large banks to secure enough turnovers for profitable operations and each transaction has its separate administrative cost. Hence the need for high interest charges of 31% for MFIs as against 14% for commercial money banks.

Table 4.4: Distribution of MFIs by the Average and Total Loan Advanced to Customers

Name of the Microfinance Bank patronized		Amount approved & paid on 3rd loan	Amount requested for 3rd Loan	Amount requested for 2nd Loan	Amount approved & paid on 2nd loan	Amount requested for 1st Loan	Amount approved & paid on 1st loan
LAPO	Mean	58,227.27	61,029.41	38,529.41	35,602.41	31,360.00	27,578.86
	N	66	68	85	83	125	123
	Std. Deviation	26,343.760	31,957.981	12,578.883	8,882.622	18,364.324	15,038.155
	Sum	3,843,000	4,150,000	3,275,000	2,955,000	3,920,000	3,392,200
CMFB	Mean			437,500.00	423,333.33	140,000.00	140,000.00
	N			4	3	3	3
	Std. Deviation			400,780.489	499,633.199	138,924.440	138,924.440
GATEWAY	Mean	45,000.00	45,000.00	25,000.00	25,000.00	116,250.00	103,750.00
	N	2	2	2	2	4	4
	Std. Deviation	21,213.203	21,213.203	7,071.068	7,071.068	189,269.429	164,285.067
UBA	Mean	250,000.00	250,000.00	200,000.00	200,000.00	475,000.00	425,000.00
	N	1	1	1	1	4	4
	Std. Deviation	386,221.008	298,607.881
IMFB	Mean	18,000.00	18,000.00	40,000.00	40,000.00	25,000.00	25,000.00
	N	1	1	2	2	2	2
	Std. Deviation	.	.	14,142.136	14,142.136	7,071.068	7,071.068
OJOKORO	Mean	50,000.00	50,000.00	407,500.00	405,000.00	163,750.00	147,500.00
	N	1	1	4	4	8	8
	Std. Deviation	.	.	728,348.589	730,045.661	209,621.257	190,169.098
POWA	Mean	50,000.00	50,000.00	40,000.00	40,000.00	85,000.00	60,000.00
	N	1	1	1	1	2	2
	Std. Deviation	91,923.882	56,568.542
PHB	Mean	47,500.00	50,000.00	42,000.00	31,000.00	26,666.67	21,333.33
	N	4	4	5	5	6	6
	Std. Deviation	5,000.000	.000	8,366.600	10,246.951	8,164.966	4,320.494
VINING	Mean	140,000.00	200,000.00	30,000.00	30,000.00	410,000.00	385,000.00
	N	1	1	1	1	2	2
	Std. Deviation	551,543.289	516,187.950
LASU	Mean					203,333.33	186,666.67
	N					3	3
	Std. Deviation					186,100.331	160,104.133

	Sum					610,000	560,000
GROOMING	Mean	70,000.00	70,000.00	40,000.00	30,000.00	30,000.00	20,000.00
	N	1	1	1	1	1	1
	Std. Deviation
	Sum	70,000	70,000	40,000	30,000	30,000	20,000
OLIVE	Mean	30,000.00	30,000.00			40,000.00	40,000.00
	N	1	1			2	2
	Std. Deviation	.	.			14,142.136	14,142.136
	Sum	30,000	30,000			80,000	80,000
1STBANK	Mean	40,000.00	40,000.00	30,000.00	30,000.00	15,000.00	15,000.00
	N	1	1	1	1	1	1
	Std. Deviation
	Sum	40,000	40,000	30,000	30,000	15,000	15,000
FORESIGHT	Mean					50,000.00	50,000.00
	N					1	1
	Std. Deviation					.	.
	Sum					50,000	50,000
NEWLIFE	Mean					50,000.00	40,000.00
	N					1	1
	Std. Deviation					.	.
	Sum					50,000	40,000
UNAAB	Mean					100,000.00	50,000.00
	N					1	1
	Std. Deviation					.	.
	Sum					100,000	50,000
Total	Mean	59,637.50	62,780.49	68,551.40	62,115.38	61,144.58	54,818.29
	N	80	82	107	104	166	164
	Std. Deviation	34,268.21	39,788.03	173,712.26	172,264.60	124,491.27	111,068.14
	Sum	4,771,000	5,148,000	7,335,000	6,460,000	10,150,000	8,990,200

Source: Field Survey, 2010

However, it should be noted that the number of customers that received loan facilities decreased with time. In contrast to Lapo, CMFB granted average higher volume of loan facilities (N140,000 and N423,000 for the first and second loans, respectively) but the reach was not as high. In general, the sampled customers were able to secure loan facilities from MFIs to the tune of about N9m for the first loan for an average of 164 persons, about N6.5m for the second loan for 104 persons and N4.77m for the third loan for 80 persons.

Contribution of the Study

Higher education has been found to increase the income of the Micro Finance Institutions (MFI) clients. The MFIs clients should therefore, be encouraged by the MFIs to improve on their current level of education by engaging in adult education or life-long learning as this will have the potency to increase their level of income. This study contributes to the body of knowledge on the field of microfinance and poverty alleviation and would assist Microfinance Institutions to be sensitive to financial services they provide to their clients. By his study, Micro finance Institution would realize that loan demand is

interest rate insensitive (see table 4-3). This study shows evidence that Micro finance institutions are major contributors to small and medium enterprises growth and also provide evidence of the impact of microfinance on the welfare of MFI clients and income growth in the country. No doubt this study would serve as a potent instrument in the mobilization and dispersion of credit to the working poor in Nigeria.

Finding, Conclusion and Recommendation

Findings

- (i) The study found out that most of the Microfinance Institutions in Nigeria are rather too young to have made a very remarkable long term impact. Most of the micro-entrepreneur-customers are in their prime age of life which falls in the economic activity group.
- (ii) The study confirmed that majority of the Micro-entrepreneur-customers have only primary and secondary education with only few having post-secondary education. It also confirmed that most of the Microfinance banks in Nigeria are tailored after the Grameen Bank which focuses on the poor and people with basic, little or no education
- (iii) It was also established, that loan demand is interest rate insensitive. Hence, it is the availability rather the cost of loan (as measured by the magnitude of interest rate) that influences the volume of loan demanded and received by the customers of MFIs.
- (iv) On this aspect of the study, the result obtained showed that all the MFIs have granted N9.1 million, N6.5 million and N4.9 million in each of the three loan categories respectively. The result also shows that the first loan received by the respondents was utilized for business development related issues; the second loan was utilized for business expansion by most of the respondents, while the third loan followed the same trend.

Conclusion

Microfinance should not be seen as a universal remedy for poverty and related development challenges, but rather as an important tool in the mission of poverty alleviation. Poverty is a multidimensional problem, embedded in a complex and interconnected political, economic, cultural, and ecological system. Owing to poverty's large scope and multiplicity of actors, there is no single guaranteed approach to its eradication. As a result, solutions are as multifaceted as the causes. Problems and solutions are not isolated phenomena, but occur within an interconnected system in which actors and actions have reciprocal consequences. As microfinance becomes more widely accepted and moves into the mainstream, the supply of financial services to the poor will likewise increase, improving efficiency and outreach, while lowering costs. This, in turn, can have a multiplier effect on people's standard of living. Perhaps the greatest contribution of microfinance is that it empowers people, by providing them with confidence, self-esteem, and the financial means to play a larger role in their development. The potential of microfinance far exceeds the micro-level, scaling-up to address macro-problems associated with poverty eradication.

Recommendations

- (i) Higher education, having been found to increase the income of the MFI clients: The MFIs clients should therefore, be encouraged by the MFIs to improve on their current level of education by engaging in adult education or life-long learning as this will have the potency to increase their level of income;
- (ii) MFIs should ensure and strive to put in place procedures, policies and products that will enhance the participation of both men and women in their various programmes in order to achieve gender responsiveness and equity; and
- (iii) The MFIs should design appropriate products that are flexible enough to meet the

different needs of the poor for both production and consumption purposes.

(iv) Government should urgently tackle the problems of infrastructural development and maintenance. These include electricity, water and efficient transportation system which impact greatly on the standard of living of the people;

References

1. Adaju, S. (2006), "Opportunity and Challenges Arising from the Microfinance Seminar and Stakeholder's Forum in April, 2006
2. Agbobli, F. M., Kekar, and Garba, A. (2007), "Support to the Development of Sustainable Microfinance Sector in Nigeria" Diamond Development Initiatives, Nigeria. UNDP/UNCDF Mid-Term Evaluation Report.
3. Akanji, O. (2002), "Microfinance as Strategy for Poverty Reduction" Central Bank of Nigeria Research Department: *Economic and Financial Review* Vol. 39, No 4.
4. Akintoye, I. R., and Owojori, K. (2009), "Microfinance Banking System: A Counsel to the Nigerian Populace" a Paper Presented at the 6th African Finance Journal Conference, Cape Town South Africa. 16th-17th July, 2009.
5. Alonzo, R.F. (1979), "Empowerment Among College Graduate", *The Philippines Economic Journal*, Vol. 18 No3, pp 187-227
6. Alderman, H. and Paxson, Christina H. (1992), "Do the Poor Insure? A Synthesis of the Literature on Risk and Consumption in Developing Countries", World Bank Working Paper WP1008 Available online @ <http://ideas.repec.org/p/wbk/vbrwps/1008>
7. Armendariz, A. and Roome, N. (2008), "Gender Empowerment in Microfinance", Working Paper, Harvard University.
8. Asian Development Bank Report (2000), "Finance for the Poor: Microfinance Development Strategy" Manila ADB.
9. Balkenhol Berne (2004): The Impact of Microfinance on Employment: What do we know? U.K. Annual Report.
10. Basu, A., Blavy R. and Yelek, M. (2004), "Microfinance in Africa: Experience and Lessons from Selected African Countries". IMF Working Paper, September, 2004
11. Besley, T., (1992). "How Do Market Failures Justify Interventions in Rural Credit Markets?," Papers 162, Princeton, Woodrow Wilson School - Development Studies.
12. Berger, A. N. and Frame, W. F. (2007), "Small Business Credit Scoring and Credit Availability" *Journal of Small Business Management* Volume 45, Issue 1, pp 5-22. Besley (1992)
13. Brewer, Elijah III (2007), "One Lending to Small Firms" *Journal of Small Business Management*, Vol. 45 (1) pp. 45-46
14. Brandshaw, Ted K. (2006), "Theories of Poverty and Anti-Poverty Programmes in Community
15. Development, Rural Poverty Research Centre, Working Paper Series. Available online @ tkbradshaw@ucdavis.edu. Accessed 8th May, 2012
16. Bruno, Squire and Ravallion (1995) "Equity and Growth in Developing Countries: Old and New Perspectives on the Policy Issues' *Issue 1563*.
17. Carla, H, Sharma, M, Lapenu, C and Zeller, M. (2003), "Microfinance Poverty Assessment Tool" Consultative Group to Assist the Poor Publication. Available online @ www.cgap.org/p/site/c/template.rc/1.9.2 978/
18. Carmichael, E. (MFI), "What is a Microfinance Institution (MFI)", African - Microfinance Gateway - Working Paper
19. CBN (2005), "Microfinance Policy, Regulatory and Supervisory Framework for Nigeria."

Availableonline@www.cenbank.org/out/Publications/.../microfinance%20policy.pdf.

20. CBN (2007), - The Benefits of Microfinance Banking

21. CBN (2008), "Distribution of Microfinance Banks by Geo-political Zones as at 31/12/2008", vol. 3, No.1, pp.220-227, International Business and Management. Available online @ cscanada.net/index.php/ibm/article/download/j.ibm....080/1837.

22. Cohen, M. and Sebstad, J. (2001), "Microfinance, Risk Management and Poverty". Washington D. C. CGAP, World Bank.

23. Coyle, Mary (2008), "A Personal Perspective on the Evolution of Microfinance in the late Twentieth Century" Conference Presentation. Available online@ www.coady.stfx.ca/resources/publications/publicationspresentationsperspectives.html

24. Craig B. R., William E. Jackson (2009): "Small Firm Finance Credit Rationing and the Impact of SBA-Guarantee Lending on Local Economic Growth, Journal of Small Business Management

25. Ebdon, R. (1995), "NGO Expansion and the Fight to Research the Poor: Gender Implications of NGO Scaling-Up in Bangladesh" IDS Bulletin, vol 26, No 3, July.

26. Ehigiamusoe, Godwin (2005), Tested Distributional Practices for Effective Microfinance Service Delivery Proceedings of Seminar on Microfinance Policy, Regulatory and Supervisory Framework in Nigeria

27. Ehigiamusoe, Godwin (2007), "Principles and Practice of Microfinance", Paper Presented at Workshop for Directors of Proposed Microfinance Banks Organized by the Central Bank of Nigeria.

28. Fabanwo, Femi (2008), "Critical Success Factors in Microfinance Bank Management"

Workshop on Effective Management of Microfinance Banks Organized by the CBN.

29. Fanta, W. M. (2007), "Microfinance African Institution Network" online @www.mfiain.org

30. Ghazi Mumtaz Farooq and Yaw Ofosu (1992), "Population, Labour Force and Employment: Concepts, Trends, and Policy Issues," Pages 81-89, World Employment Programme. International Labour Office, Geneva.

31. Grameen Foundation (2007), "Empowering People, Changing Lives, Innovating for the World's Poor" - Washington DC 20001USA www.grameenfoundation.org

32. Goetz and Sen Gupta (1996), "Who Takes the Credit? Gender, Power and Control over Loan Use in Rural credit Programmes in Bangladesh" World Development

33. Gujarati, D. N. (2009), "Basic Econometrics," McGraw-Hill International, Third Edition, New York.

34. Hennessey M. (2006), "The Microfinance Moment" The_Punch_(Newspaper), December 4, pp. 16.

35. Hazel, P, and L. Haddad (2001). Agricultural Research and Poverty Reduction, IFPRI2020 Vision, Discussion Paper 34.

36. Hossain, F. and Knight, T. (2008), "Financing the Poor: Can Microcredit Make a Difference? Empirical Observations from Bangladesh" Brooks World Poverty Institute Working Paper 28

37. Hope, Moses-Ashike, MFBs Position to grant more Loans, Business Newspaper, Monday, May30, 2012

38. Hulme, D. and Mosley P. (1996), "Finance Against Poverty", Vol. 2. Rutledge, London and New York

39. Jhingan, M.L. (2003), *Economics of Development and Planning*, Vrinda Publication, India
40. Johnson and Rogaly (1997, p.12) "Microfinance and Poverty Reduction" An Oxfam Publication. pp. 12, United Kingdom and Ireland. Available online@books.google.com.ng/
41. Kefas, S.D. (2006), "Fighting Poverty through Empowering Women with Microfinance". A *Quarterly Newsletter of International Year of Microcredit*, Vol. 2
42. Khandker, Shahidur (1998). *Fighting Poverty with Micro-credit: Experience in Bangladesh*. Oxford University Press for the World Bank, New York
43. Kiiru, J. (2008), "Microfinance, Entrepreneurship and Rural Development: Empirical Evidence from Makueni District, Kenya", Centre for Development Research (ZEF) Bonn University.
44. Kimotho, M.W. (2007), "The Key Success Factors in Microfinance: Wide Outreach and Long-Term Sustainability" – *The Nigerian Microfinance* Vol. 4 January 2007 New letter Magazine.
45. Kimotho, M.W, Olaitan, M.A. (2000) "The Financial System that works for the majority
46. Kolawole, S. E. (2006), "Microfinance – Ancient and Modern: Lessons from History" The First Annual Microfinance Seminar and Stakeholders' Forum Organized by Centenary Consulting Ltd.
47. LaFourcade, A.C, Isern, J., Nwangi, P. and Brown, M. (2005), "Overview of the Outreach and Financial Performance of Microfinance Institutions in Africa" *Microfinance Information Exchange (MIX)*. Weblink: <http://www.mixmarket.org>.
48. Lafourcade, A., Isern, J., Mwangi, P. and Brown (2005), "Overview of the Outreach and Financial Performance of Microfinance Institutions in Africa", a Paper Prepared for Microfinance Information Exchange (MIX) and Consultative Group to Assist the Poor (CGAP).
49. Ledgerwood, Joanna (1999), *Sustainable Banking with the poor: Microfinance Handbook – The World Bank* Washington D C.
50. Mago, Stephen (2009), "Microfinance for SME Development: Evidence from Literature", A Paper Presented at the 6th African Finance Journal Conference, Cape Town, South Africa, 16th-17th July.
51. Mago Stephen and Mago Shamiso (2009), "Rural Microfinance: A Strategy for reducing aid Dependency for Poverty Alleviation in Sub-Saharan Africa", "Paper presented at the 6th African Journal Conference, Cape Town, South Africa, 16 – 19 July, 2009
52. March, R. Porter, B. and Harper C. (1999). "Money Matters: Understand Microfinance" *Journal of Modern African Studies* Vol. 38 No.3
53. Marr, A. (2002) "Studying Group Dynamics: An analysis of Microfinance Impacts on Poverty Reduction and its Application in Peru" Working Paper – University of London.
54. Mayoux, L. (2001) "Microfinance and the Empowerment of Women: A review of Key Issues". Available online@J:\ilo_data\public\englishemployment\finance\download\wp23.wpd
55. Michael, F.W. (2007), "An Update from Microfinance Africa Institution Network (MAIN)" Available online @ http://www.inaise.org/ENTxt_01214.html
56. Mincer, Jacob (1973), *Schooling, Experience and Earnings*, New York, National Bureau of Economic Research
57. Montgomery, R. (1996), "Disciplining or Protecting the Poor? Avoiding the Social Cost of Peer Pressure in Micro-Credit Schemes",

Journal of International Development, Vol. 8, No. 2, Wiley, U.K

58. Morduch, Jonathan (1999). The Microfinance Promises. *Journal of Economic Literature* 37:1569-1614, December

59. Murray, Una (2005), "Promoting Gender-Sensitive Entrepreneurship via Microfinance Institutions". Paper presented at the FAO Headquarters on the events of International Women's Day, March.

60. Nagarajah, G. (2008), "Developing Microfinance Institutions in Conflict Affected Countries: Emerging Issues, First Lessons Learnt and Challenges Ahead," ILO Action Programmeme on Skill and Enterprises Training for Countries from Armed Conflict – working paper

61. Nana, O. (2008), "Microfinance Product Development in Ghana: The Innovative And Unique Dimensions of Kraban Support Foundation's Microloan Products" Paper Presented at the Third Microfinance Conference (10th – 11th) January, at the Elmina Beach Resort, Ghana.

62. National Population Commission (NPC) (2009). 2006 Population and Housing Census of the Federal Republic of Nigeria. National and State Population and Housing Tables. Priority Tables Vol 1. 2010. National Population Commission, Abuja. Nigeria. 2009.

63. National Population Commission (NPC) (2009). 2006 Population and Housing Census of the Federal Republic of Nigeria. National and State population and Housing Tables. Priority Tables Vol 1. 2010. National Population Commission, Abuja. Nigeria. 2009.

64. Ochefu, Daniel (2008), "A Personal Perspective on the Evolution of Microfinance in the Late Twentieth Century" Conference Presentation

65. Ogunrinde, A (2009), "Microfinance Banks Need Guide to Operate Efficiency", Sunday Punch Newspaper, August 9th, pp 43.

66. Ogunrinola, O. I. and Alege, P. O. (2007), "Micro Credit and Micro – Enterprise Development: An Analysis of Some Rural – based Enterprises in Nigeria. *The Nigerian Journal of Economics and Social Sciences*. Vol. 49, No. 1; pp. 55-114. (Published by The Nigerian Economic Society, Nigeria (ISSN 0029-0092)

67. Ogunrinola, I.O. (1990), "The Economic Analysis of Personal Earnings of the Self-Employed in the Informal Auto-Repair Industry: The Case of Ile-Ife, *The Nigeria Journal of Economic and Social Studies*,_Vol. 32, No 3. Pp 269-284"

68. Oni S. O. (2008), "Emergence and Prospect of Microfinance Banks in Nigeria" Microfinance Workshop Organized by the Resort Consult Ltd, Lagos.

69. Onwumere, J.U.J. (2008), "Microfinance and Developing the Nigerian Economy", *The Nigerian Banker*, October – December 2008

70. Olaitan, M.A (2007), "Funding Sources for Microfinance Banks in Nigeria" *The Nigerian Microfinance* Vol. 4 January 2007. News Letter Magazine

71. Omino, G. (2005) "Regulation and Supervision of Microfinance Practice in Kenya". (In Essay on Regulation and Supervision of Microfinance Practice in Kenya). C.B.o.Kenya, IRIS Centre.

72. Onwumere, J.U.J (2009), "Microfinance and Developing the Nigerian Economy," Department of Banking and Finance, University of Nigeria, Enugu Campus, Enugu

73. Pollinger, Outhwaite and Cordero Guzman (2007), "The Question of Sustainability for Microfinance Institutions", *Journal of Small Business Management*, 45 (1), pp. 23-41

74. Pretes, M. (2002), "Microequity and Microfinance" *World Development* Vol. 80 No. pp. 134 - 1353.
75. Rahman, A. (1999), "Micro-Credit Initiatives for Equitable and Sustainable Development: World Development, vol. 27, issue 1, page 67-82. Available online @<http://EconPapers.repec.org/Repec:wdev:lv:27:1999:i:1:67-82>.
76. Randhawa, B. and Gallardo, J. (2003), "Microfinance Regulation in Tanzania: Implications for Development and Performance of the Industry". Paper Contributed to Africa Region Working Paper Series No. 51
77. Rapisura, M. A. (2008), "Tackling Financial Exclusion at the Grassroots" CBN Workshop Paper: Central Bank of Nigeria, Abuja. Jan 17th and 18th, 2008.
78. Rogaly, B. (1996). Microfinance Evangelism, 'Destitute Women' and the hard selling of a New Anti-Poverty Formula. *Development in Practice* 6:100-112
79. Sachs, J D (2005), "The End of Poverty - How We Can Make It Happen In Our Lifetime" Penguin Books Publication.
80. Seibel, H. and Almeyda, G. (2001), "Women and Men in Rural Microfinance the Case of Jordan" University of Cologne: Development Research.
81. Stiglitz, Joseph E. and Weiss, Andrew (1981), "Credit Rationing in Markets with Imperfect Information", *American Economic Review* 71, 393-410
82. Swain, R.B, and Y.F. Wallentine (2007), "Empowering Women through Microfinance: Evidence from India", in UNDP, poverty in Focus, Dec., Available online @ <http://www.wikigender.org/wikiindex.php?title=Microfinance>
83. Robinson, M.S. "The Microfinance Review - Sustainable Finance for the Poor" Washington D. C. World Bank 2001
84. United Nations Capital Development Fund (2005), "Microfinance Matters-Building Inclusive
85. Financial Sectors" UNCDF. Available online @ www.uncdf.org/english/microfinance/pubs/newsletter
86. United Nations Capital Development Fund (UNCDF) (2006), "The Basics on Microfinance" in "Attacking Africa's Poverty" Edited by Fox, L. and Liebenthal Robert, World Bank, Washington DC
87. United Nations (1998), "Role of Microcredit in the Eradication of Poverty", Secretary General's Report. Available online @ www.un.org/esa/socdev/document/resolution/2008/microfinance.pdf
Available online @ www.unitus.com/sections/impact/impact_css_kenya.asp
88. Unitus Innovative Solutions to Global Poverty - 2009
89. White, H., Kayizzi-Mugerwa, S. and Killick, T (2001.), "African Poverty at the Millenium: Causes, Complexities and Challenges" pp.117, World Bank, Washington, DC.
90. Wome, S. (2003), "Microfinance Empowerment" *Development in Practice*, Volume 13, November 5, November 2003. World Bank, (2006), "Access for All - Building Inclusive Financial Systems" Washington, DC
91. Yunus, Muhammad (2003), "Banker to the Poor- Micro Lending and the Battle Against World Poverty" New York, Perseus Books Group, Public Affairs, USA.